

INICC Bundle to Prevent Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Intensive Care Units: An International Perspective.

Purpose

Previously published guidelines are available that provide comprehensive recommendations for detecting and preventing healthcare-associated infections, especially in the USA. The intent of this document is to highlight practical recommendations in a concise format designed to assist acute care hospitals worldwide in implementing and prioritizing their ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) prevention efforts.

VAP Background

VAP Rates Internationaly.

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has been considered to be the most serious healthcareassociated infection (HAI), and it was reported to be the leading cause of morbidity and mortality for device-associated infections (DAI), particularly, in the adult intensive care unit (AICU) setting.^{1,2} Additionally, in a large body of scientific literature, VAPs are among the commonest type of DAI, resulting in a substantial increase in hospital costs and length of stay (LOS).^{1,3}

The scope of the burden posed by VAP internationally, however, has not been systematically addressed.¹ Although surveillance has been reported as an effective tool for the reduction of VAP in the USA,4 the importance of surveillance for measuring AICU patient infection risks, outcomes and processes internationally remains many times under-recognized.^{1,5} As a countervailing strategy, in 2002 the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) developed an outcome and process surveillance program specifically designed for ICUs internationally.6-8

Through the implementation of the INICC program, it was demonstrated that there was a notable difference in the VAP rates between the ICUs of hospitals from the industrialized world and those internationally, with rates ranging from 3 to 5 times higher in the latter ones.⁹⁻¹⁸

The prevalence of HAI internationally was found to at least double the rates published by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control,¹⁹ and triple those found in the USA.²⁰

In the case of DA-HAIs, the rate of device use was found to be analogous or even lower to the one reported of U.S. ICUs by the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) System;^{21,22} however, pooled mean rates identified in intensive care units (ICUs) internationaly by the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) were found to be exceedingly higher than those reported from U.S.'s ICUs by the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN).^{5,17,22}

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews on HAI have been scant internationally. Furthermore, such analyzes could not retrieve enough data from some regions and many countries were not even represented.23 The systematic review and metaanalysis on the burden of endemic HAI internationally by Allegranzi et al concluded that HAI prevalence was significantly higher in low and middle low-income countries compared to USA and Germany. The incidence density of DAI in critically ill patients was found to be from two- to 19-fold higher than those reported from the USA and Germany.²³ In a systematic review by Arabi et al on VAP in adults internationally, from 1966 to 2007, the rates of VAP were higher overall than NHSN benchmark rates, and ranged from 10 to 41.7 per 1000 ventilator-days. The review found that the crude mortality attributable to VAP ranged from 16% to 94%.1

Applying INICC methodology the following VAP rates per 1000 mechanical ventilator days were collected and found: in Argentina is 46.3;²⁴ in Brazil is 20.9;²⁵ in China is 20.8;²⁶ in Colombia is 10.1;²⁷ in Cuba is 52.5;²⁸ in Egypt is 73.4;²⁹ in El Salvador is 12.1 in PICU,³⁰ and 9.9 in NICU;³⁰ in India is 10.4;³¹ in Mexico is 21.8;³² in Morocco is 43.2;³³ in Peru is 31.3;³⁴ in Philipines is 16.7 in adult ICU,³⁵ and is 12.8 in Pediatric ICU;³⁵ in Poland is 18.2;³⁶ in Turkey is 26.5;³⁷ in Lebanon is 8.1;³⁸ in the INICC international report from 8 countries is 19.5; ⁴⁰ in the INICC international report from 18 countries is 19.5; ⁴⁰ in the INICC international report from 36 countries is 15.8;⁴² in the INICC report of Neaonatal ICUs of 15 countries is 9.7.⁴³

VAP Mortality, Extra Lenght of Stay, and Extra Cost Internationally.

From the available literature, it is highly visible that the adverse consequences of device-associated HAIs (DA-HAI) internationally —that is, attributable mortality, $^{2,3,6,9,10,12,13,17,33,44-54}$ prolonged length of stay, $^{2,3,6,9,10,12,36,44-49,51-54}$ extra hospital costs, 3,44,47 and increased bacterial resistance $^{25,27,31,33,34,36,40,41,55-58}$ are more far-reaching in terms of severity than in the USA and Germany. In order to calculate the cost of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units, a 5-year matched cohort study was undertaken at 6 ICUs of three hospitals in Argentina members of INICC. Three hundred and seven patients with VAP (exposed) and 307 patients without VAP (unexposed) were matched for hospital, ICU, period, LOSmore then seven days, gender, age, and average severity of illness score (ASIS). The mean extra LOS for 307 cases (compared to the controls) was 8.95 days, the mean extra antibiotic defined daily doses (DDD) was 15, the mean extra antibiotic cost was \$996, the mean extra total cost was \$2,255, and the extra mortality was 30.3%.59 Nevertheless, the extended suffering of patients and their relatives cannot be estimated in terms of economic costs only. Mortality due to ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has been found by Rosenthal to be as high as 56,7%.³

In a study performed in hospitals member of INICC in 10 developing countries to estimate extra LOS and mortality in an intensive care unit (ICU) due to a VAP, a cohort of 69,248 admissions were followed for 283,069 days in ICUs. Data were arranged according to a multi-state format. Extra LOS and increased risk of death were estimated independently in each country, and their results were combined using a random effects metaanalysis. The findings of the analysis showed that a VAP prolonged LOS by an average of 2.03 days (95% CI: 1.52, 2.54 days), and increased the risk of death by 14% (95% CI: 2, 27%).⁶⁰

Antibiotic Usage and Bacterial Resistance Internationally.

The relationship of antibiotic use and the emergence of antibiotic-resistant HAI is an issue that epidemiologists and hospital authorities internationally must be aware of. In INICC's ICUs, antimicrobial resistance rates found for Staphylococcus aureus isolates as resistant to methicillin (MRSA), enterobacteria resistant to ceftazidime (extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producers), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa as resistant to fluoroquinolones, were far higher than NHSN ICUs' rates.⁶¹ Nonetheless, the rates found in the INICC's ICUs for enterococcal isolates as resistant to vancomycin were much lower than NHSN ICUs' rates.33,55,61

VAP Rates in Neonatal ICUs Internationally.

In several studies, researchers have highlighted the extreme vulnerability of neonates hospitalized in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) to mortality attributable to DA-HAI, with rates ranging from 24% in the pre-surfactant era to 11% in the postsurfactant era in the developed countries.62-65 However, within the context of developing countries, access to knowledge regarding DA-HAI is scarce, and there is an insufficient recognition of the importance of surveillance for measuring the infection risks, outcomes and processes concerning the neonatal patient hospitalized in the NICU.^{30,66-6} In this respect, a recent study was performed to evaluate the impact of country socioeconomic status and hospital type on device-associated healthcareassociated infections (DA-HAIs) in 30 neonatal

intensive care units (NICUs), from hospitals members of INICC in 15 developing countries. Its findings revealed that ventilator-associated-pneumonia (VAP) rates in patients hospitalized in NICUs from academic hospitals were significantly higher than rates found in private or public hospitals.⁵²

Strategies for VAP Rate Reduction Internationally.

According to a review by Arabi *et al* on VAP in adults internationally, a small number of VAP intervention studies were performed, which found that staff education programs, implementation of hand hygiene, and VAP prevention guidelines, and implementation of sedation protocol were related to a significant reduction in VAP rates.¹

Since 1998, INICC has conducted several studies internationally, in order to reduce VAP rates, applying similar methodology. The aim of these studies was to analyze the effect of the INICC multidimensional infection prevention model on the reduction of VAP in hospitalized patients. It was a prospective active surveillance before-after study to assess the impact of a multi-dimensional prevention model on the VAP rate. The study was divided into two phases. During phase 1, the infection control team at each ICU conducted active prospective surveillance of VAP by applying the definitions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Health Safety Network (NHSN), and the methodology of INICC. During phase 2, the prevention model for VAP was implemented at each ICU, in addition to the active surveillance. The INICC VAP prevention model included the following measures: 1- bundle of infection control interventions, 2- education, 3- outcome surveillance, 4- process surveillance, 5- feedback of VAP rates, and 6- performance feedback of infection control practices. The VAP rates obtained in phase 1 were compared to the rates obtained in phase 2.

The one conducted by INICC in China,⁶⁹ from January 2005 to July 2009, recorded data from 16,429 patients hospitalized in 3 ICUs, for a total of 74,116 ICU bed days. The VAP baseline rate was 24.1 per 1000 ventilator-days. During phase 2, the VAP rate significantly decreased to 5.7 per 1000 ventilator-days in 2009 (2009 vs 2005: relative risk, 0.31; 95% confidence interval, 0.16-0.36; P = .0001), amounting to a 79% cumulative VAP rate reduction.⁶⁹

The one conducted by INICC in Adult ICUs,⁷⁰ showed that in 44 AICUs, from 38 hospitals members of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC), from 31 cities of the following 14 developing countries: Argentina, Brazil, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, India, Lebanon, Macedonia, Mexico, Morocco, Panama, Peru, and Turkey. During Phase 1, we recorded 10,292 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during Phase 2, with the implementation of the multifaceted prevention model, we recorded 127,374 MV days. The rate of VAP was 22.0 per 1000 MV days during Phase 1, and 17.2 per 1000 MV days during Phase 2. The adjusted model of linear trend shows a 55.83% reduction of the rate of VAP at the end of

the study period; that is, the VAP rate is 55.83% lower than it was at the beginning of the study.⁷⁰

The one conducted by INICC in Pediatric ICUs,⁷¹ showed that during the baseline period, we recorded 5,212 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during the implementation of the bundle of interventions, we recorded 9,894 MV days. VAP rate during baseline period was 11.7, and during intervention period, it was 8.1 per 1000 MV days (RR; 0.69; 95% CI 0.5-0.96; P 0.02), which showed a 31% VAP rate reduction.⁷¹

The one conducted by INICC in Neonatal ICUs,⁷² showed that during Phase 1, we recorded 3,153 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during Phase 2, with the implementation of the bundle of interventions, we recorded 15,981 MV days. VAP rate during Phase 1 period was 17.8, and during Phase 2 period was 12.0 per 1000 MV days (RR; 0.67; 95% CI 0.50-0.91; P 0.001), showing a 33% VAP rate reduction.⁷²

Our results demonstrate that the implementation of the INICC multidimensional infection control program was associated with a significant VAP rate reduction in adult, pediatric and neonatal ICUs internationally.⁶⁹⁻⁷²

Conclusion

These findings are a clear indication of the influence that economics, as a surrogate of available medical supplies, outdated technology, and scarce human resources availability, have on developing countries, and of the close relation between hospital type and limited access to health care resources. In public and academic hospitals, the limitated resources in terms of adequate number of trained and specialized staff, budget, medical supplies and hospital administrative support is markedly more serious than in private hospitals, as the public hospital are more dependent on the socio-economic category of the country concerning the budget allocation. Limited-resource countries are confronted with aspects that transcend clinical findings and good delivery of healthcare practices; the harsher reality suffered by patients hospitalized in the ICUs of developing countries lies outside the scope of the hospital itself, and reflects the country's social and political situation, poor living conditions, difficult or differentiated access to labor market and precarious labor conditions, diversity of cultural values, unequal allocation of assets among population resulting in unsatisfied basic needs, including sanitary infrastructure and limited access to the education and health system. As long as these conditions prevail, healthcare workers from developing countries are urged to focus their best efforts on improving healthcare and clinical practices, and disseminating their successful achievements, so as to be able to counteract the many social factors that cannot be directly controlled by clinical practices alone.73 Also the findings of these reviews and meta-analyses evidence the urgent need to improve surveillance, infection control practices, update outdated technology, and to increase the number of intervention studies to reduce these high DA-HAI rates internationally. Therefore, additional epidemiological studies are to be performed to develop more definitive approaches for DA-HAI

prevention in the form of practical, cost-effective technological measures that are feasible to implement internationally. Finally, INICC results demonstrate that the implementation of the INICC multidimensional infection control program was associated with a significant VAP rate reduction in adult, pediatric and neonatal ICUs internationally.

INICC Methodology

The INICC Surveillance Program includes two components: outcome surveillance (VAP rates and consequences) and process surveillance (adherence to hand hygiene and other basic preventive infection control practices).⁷

The investigators at the participating hospitals were required to perform outcome and process surveillance by completing forms, which were then sent for their monthly analysis to the INICC office in Buenos Aires.⁷

Outcome Surveillance

The INICC Surveillance Program is focused on the methods and definitions for DAI developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Health Safety Network (NHSN) program.^{74,75} However, the INICC methods have taken into consideration the different socioeconomic status and specific limitations of limited-resource countries, and were adapted for their application in this setting.⁷ Outcome surveillance includes rates VAP per 1000 device-days; microorganism profile, bacterial resistance, length of stay, and mortality in their ICUs.

Process surveillance

Preventive strategies in INICC member hospitals are based on simple, inexpensive, evidence-based measures, which include outcome surveillance, process surveillance, education and performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance.⁷

Process surveillance is designed to monitor compliance with easily measurable, key infection control measures. It includes the surveillance of compliance rates for hand hygiene practices and some specific infection control measures for the prevention of VAP.^{73,76-78}

Hand-hygiene (HH) compliance by healthcare workers (HCWs) is determined by measuring the frequency of HH performances when clearly indicated, and such practices are monitored by the hospital's ICP during randomly selected 1-hour observation periods, 3 times a week. Although HCWs know that HH practices are regularly monitored, they are not actually aware of the precise moment in which observations are taking place.⁷

ICPs were trained to detect HH compliance and record HH opportunities and compliance through direct observation. The INICC direct observation comprises the "Five Moments for Hand Hygiene," as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). The "Five Moments" were designed on the basis of the evidence concerning DAI prevention and control, and include the monitoring of the following moments: (1) before patient contact, (2) before an aseptic task, (3) after body fluid exposure risk, (4) after patient contact, and (5) after contact with patient surroundings.⁷⁹

Training and Validation

Investigators are self-trained by means of a manual and training tool that describe how to perform surveillance and complete surveillance forms. Investigators have continuous e-mail and telephone access to a support team at the INICC Central Office in Buenos Aires, Argentina, which is in charge of responding to all queries within 24 hours. The INICC Chairman further reviews all queries and responses.

Surveillance forms for individual patients allow internal and external validation, because they include every clinical and microbiological criterion for each type of DAI, such as temperature, blood pressure, use if invasive devices, cultures taken, culture results, antibiotic use. Surveillance also includes a form where positive cultures are registered and matched with patients' forms.

On a monthly basis, participating hospitals submit the completed surveillance forms to the INICC Central Office, where the validity of each case was checked and the recorded signs and symptoms of infection and the results of laboratory studies, radiographic studies, and cultures were scrutinized to assure that the NNIS System criteria for deviceassociated infection were fulfilled.

The ICT member who reviewed the forms completed at the participating AICU was able to

verify that criteria for infection had been met accurately in each case. Additionally, the original patient data forms were further validated at the INICC Central Office, before data on the reported infection were entered into the INICC's database. To that end, queries were submitted from INICC office in Buenos Aires to the ICT teams at each hospital, challenging those cases with suspected VAP, and data were uploaded after receiving the reply from hospital teams. Finally, the INICC team performed consistency analyses of database, such as age, gender, dates, among other data, and reviews of medical records that compared data registered in forms and data in medical records.

Performance Feedback

The concept of using performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance as a valuable control measure in limited-resource hospitals was based on its effectiveness as proved in previous INICC studies.^{73,76-78,80,81}

The INICC Central Office team prepared and sent monthly chart reports to each participating hospital that detailed their rates of VAP, microbiology profile, and rates of adherence to hand hygiene, among other infection related data. The participating ICU staff received feedback on their performance at monthly meetings, by means of the review of said charts, which were posted in a prominent location in the ICU.

Bundle Background

Within the INICC program, the infection prevention bundle was based on the guidelines published by the Society for Health Care Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), which describe evidence-based interventions and recommendations for VAP prevention in the ICU.⁸² In addition, the INICC prevention bundle also followed the recommendation by the Institute of Healthcare Improvement (IHI) that a ventilator bundle be implemented at every ICU to reduce the incidence of VAP to zero, which was part of the 5 Million Lives campaign, endorsed by leading US agencies and professional societies.⁸³ Within the international context, outcome and process surveillance, integrated in an intervention bundle with performance feedback of infection control practices, has been shown to successfully reduce and control DAIs in different studies conducted in INICC member hospitals.^{69-73,76,77,80,81}

These bundle provide feasible and cost-effective infection control measures, applicable internationally.

Index:

1. General Strategies to prevent VAP

i. Surveillance of VAP Rates.

ii. Educate healthcare personnel.

iii. Perform hand hygiene.

iv. Limit the Use of Mechanical Ventilation.

- Use noninvasive ventilation whenever possible.
- Minimize the duration of ventilation.
- Perform daily assessments of readiness to wean.
- v. Implement a Multidimensional approach.

2. Core Strategies to prevent VAP i. Prevent Aspiration of Secretions

- Maintain patients in a semi-recumbent position.
- Avoid gastric overdistention.
- Avoid unplanned extubation and reintubation.
- Use a cuffed endotracheal tube with in-line or subglottic suctioning.
- Maintain an endotracheal cuff pressure of at least 20 cm H2O.

ii. Prevent Colonization of the Aerodigestive Tract

- Orotracheal intubation.
- Perform comprehensive oral care.

iii. Prevent Use of Contaminated Equipment.

- Remove condensate from ventilatory circuits. Keep the ventilatory circuit closed during condensate removal.
- Change the ventilatory circuit only when visibly soiled or malfunctioning.
- Store and disinfect respiratory therapy equipment properly.
- Use sterile water to rinse reusable respirator equipment.

1. General Strategies to prevent VAP

i. Conduct active surveillance for VAP.⁸⁴

Perform ongoing surveillance of the incidence density of VAP on units that care for patients undergoing mechanical ventilation who are known or suspected to be at high risk for VAP, to permit longitudinal assessment of process of care.

Incidence density of VAP, reported as the number of episodes of VAP per 1,000 ventilator-days.

Preferred measure of VAP incidence density: 1-Numerator: number of patients undergoing mechanical ventilation who have VAP, defined using National Healthcare Safety Network definitions; 2- Denominator: number of ventilatordays; 3- Multiply by 1,000 so that the measure is expressed as cases per 1,000 ventilator-days.

ii. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP.¹⁴

1. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP, including information about the following: a. Local epidemiology; b. Risk factors; c. Patient outcomes.

2. Educate clinicians who care for patients undergoing ventilation about noninvasive ventilatory strategies.

iii. Adhere to Hand-Hygiene Guidelines Published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the World Health Organization.^{84,85}

Collect data on a sample of healthcare personnel from all disciplines who provide hands-on care to patients undergoing ventilation, including physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, and radiology technicians. Perform observations at regular intervals (e.g., 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (e.g., as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation: Use noninvasive ventilation whenever possible.⁸⁶⁻⁹³

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) refers to the administration of ventilatory support without using an invasive artificial airway (endotracheal tube or tracheostomy tube). NIV has been used primarily for patients with acute hypercapnic ventilatory failure, and especially for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In this population, the use of NIV is associated with a marked reduction in the need for endotracheal intubation, a decrease in complication rate, a reduced duration of hospital stay and a substantial reduction in hospital mortality. Similar benefits have also been demonstrated in patients with asphyxic forms of acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Major benefits have also been demonstrated in selected populations with no contraindications such as multiple organ failure, loss of consciousness or haemodynamic instability. One important factor in success seems to be the early delivery of noninvasive ventilation during the course of respiratory failure. Noninvasive ventilation allows many of the complications associated with

mechanical ventilation to be avoided, especially the occurrence of nosocomial infections.

iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation: Minimize the duration of ventilation.^{89,94-100}

Daily interruption of sedation followed by a readiness to wean assessment and readiness for a spontaneous breathing trial. Around the clock sedation assessment using a reliable and valid tool.

iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation: Perform daily assessments of readiness to wean.^{84,96} Use weaning protocols.^{101,102}

Hospital teams across the United States have developed and tested process and system changes that allowed them to improve performance on daily sedation vacations and daily assessment of readiness to extubate. These measures, taken together, support the implementation of the ventilator bundle. Some of these changes are:

1- Implement a protocol to lighten sedation daily at an appropriate time to assess for neurological readiness to extubate. Include precautions to prevent self-extubation such as increased monitoring and vigilance during the trial.

2- Include a sedation vacation strategy in your overall plan to wean the patient from the ventilator; if you have a weaning protocol, add sedation vacation to that strategy.

3- Assess compliance each day on multidisciplinary rounds.

4- Consider implementation of a sedation scale such as the Riker scale to avoid over-sedation.

5- Post compliance with the intervention in a prominent place in your ICU to encourage change and motivate staff.

v. Implement a multidimensional approach. ⁶⁹⁻⁷² Apply a multidimensional approach for VAP prevention including the following measures:

- 1- Bundle of infection control interventions,
- 2- Education,
- 3- Outcome surveillance,
- 4- Process surveillance,
- 5- Feedback of VAP rates, and
- 6- Performance feedback of infection control practices.

2. Core Strategies to Prevent VAP

i. Prevent Aspiration of Secretions

Maintain patients in a semi-recumbent position (30-45 elevation of the head of the bed) unless there are contraindications. ¹⁰⁰

Head of the bed elevated for the majority of the day (unless medically contraindicated). It is understood that patients might be cared for at different bed angles during different times of the day, and that continuous monitoring of bed angles is impossible. Therefore, to implement this measure, the ventilator patient in the intensive care unit must be monitored at least two times in a 24-hour period to see if the head of the bed is elevated to 30 degrees or greater. The observations should coincide with the structure of the ICU shifts and one observation should be made on at least two different shifts within the 24 hour period. It is recommended that there be a minimum of 8 hours between observations. In order to achieve the most valid results, it is suggested that a pre-determined schedule be devised. The schedule may or may not be random, but should ensure that equal numbers of observations are made during each day of the week.

Consider progressive mobility: continuous lateral rotation therapy or at least early mobility.

(a) Experimental trials have demonstrated that backrest elevation is associated with a reduced risk of pulmonary aspiration.¹⁰³¹⁻²

(b) Multivariable analysis of risk factors associated with VAP found up to a 67% reduction in VAP among patients maintained in semi-recumbency during the first 24 hours of mechanical ventilation.¹⁰⁴

(c) The impact of semi-recumbency was confirmed in an observational study, 98 and a randomized trial. 105

(d) However, recent studies indicate that semirecumbent positioning is rarely maintained,¹⁰⁶ and may not be associated with a reduced rate of tracheal colonization¹⁰⁶ or VAP.¹⁰⁷

Avoid gastric overdistention.^{89,108-112}

According with Heyland study, patients fed into the stomach had more episodes of gastroesophageal regurgitation (39.8% vs. 24.9%, p =.04) and trended toward more microaspiration (7.5% vs. 3.9%, p =.22) compared with patients fed beyond the pylorus.

Avoid unplanned extubation and reintubation.^{84,96,113,114}

Perhaps the most risky aspect of lightening the sedation that the patient is receiving daily is the chance that patients might self-extubate. This risk can be diminished by ensuring that the process is adequately supervised and that appropriate restraints are applied to the patient's arms in a comfortable fashion.

Use a cuffed endotracheal tube with in-line or subglottic suctioning.

Subglottic secretion drainage is associated with a decreased incidence of VAP. To increase their utility and cost-effectiveness, these tubes should only be placed in patients expected to require prolonged mechanical ventilation.

(a) Meta-analysis demonstrated that subglottic secretion drainage was effective in preventing earlyon- set VAP. $^{\rm 115}$

Maintain an endotracheal cuff pressure of at least 20 cm H2O.¹

Cuff pressure must be monitored frequently.¹¹⁶

ii. Strategies to reduce colonization of the aerodigestive tract

Orotracheal intubation is preferable to nasotracheal intubation.

Oral endotracheal intubation is associated with a trend toward a reduction in VAP compared to

nasotracheal intubation and with a decreased incidence of sinusitis (the incidence of VAP is lower in patients who do not develop sinusitis). Reintubation should be avoided if possible. (a) Nasotracheal intubation increases the risk of sinusitis,^{117,118} which may increase the risk for VAP.^{111,119}

Perform comprehensive oral care,^{89,120-124} with an antiseptic solution.¹²⁵⁻¹²⁸

- 1- Perform tooth brushing, oral cleansing with antiseptic solution (e.g. Chlorhexidine 0.12%) and suctioning, twice daily.
- 2- Antiseptic oral rinse with Chlorhexidine after brushing.
- 3- In between tooth brushing, debride biofilm with swab impregnated with an oral solution (e.g. hydrogen peroxide) and suctioning simultaneously, every 4 hours.
- Apply a mouth moisturizer to the oral mucosa and lips to keep tissue moist as needed.

Oral Care Rationale and Other Considerations:

(a) Oropharyngeal cleaning and decontamination with an antiseptic agent; develop and implement a comprehensive oral-hygiene program (that might include the use of an antiseptic agent) for patients in acute-care settings or residents in long-term care facilities who are at risk for healthcare associated pneumonia (II).^{120,129}

(b) Use of Chlorhexidine Gluconate (0.12%) oral rinse during the perioperative period on adult patients who undergo cardiac surgery (II).¹²³

(c) Antiseptic oral rinses (Chlorhexidine Gluconate, Cetylpyridinium Chloride [CPC]), added after brushing or done in conjunction with comprehensive oral care did achieve elimination of VAP.⁴¹³⁰

iii. Strategies to minimize contamination of equipment used to care for patients receiving mechanical ventilation

Remove condensate from ventilatory circuits. Keep the ventilatory circuit closed during condensate removal.^{84,89,96,131}

Periodically drain and discard any condensate that collects in the tubing of a mechanical ventilator, taking precautions not to allow condensate to drain toward the patient.

Change the ventilatory circuit only when visibly soiled or malfunctioning.^{84,132-137}

Do not, on the basis of duration of use, routinely change the breathing circuit (ie, ventilator tubing and ex- halation valve and the attached humidifier) that is in use by an individual patient. Change the circuit when it is visibly soiled or mechanically malfunctioning.

Store and disinfect respiratory therapy equipment properly.⁸⁴

Thoroughly clean all respiratory equipment to be sterilized or disinfected. Whenever possible, use steam sterilization or high- level disinfection by wet heat pasteurization at temperatures higher than 70C (158F) for 30 minutes for reprocessing semicritical equipment or devices (ie, items that come into direct or indirect contact with mucous membranes of the lower respiratory tract). Use low-temperature sterilization methods (as approved by the Office of Device Evaluation, Center for Devices and Health, US Food and Drug Radiologic Administration) for equipment or devices that are heat or moisture sensitive. After disinfection, proceed with appropriate rinsing, drying, and packaging, taking care not to contaminate the disinfected items.

Use sterile water to rinse reusable respirator equipment. ⁸⁴

Preferentially use sterile water to rinse reusable semicritical respiratory equipment and devices when rinsing is needed after chemical disinfection. If this is not feasible, rinse the device with filtered water (ie, water that has been through a 0.2-mm filter) or tap water, and then rinse with isopropyl alcohol and dry with forced air or in a drying cabinet.

References:

1. Arabi Y, Al-Shirawi N, Memish Z, Anzueto A. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults in developing countries: a systematic review. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12:505-12.

2. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Orellano PW. Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: attributable mortality and length of stay. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:291-5.

 Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Safdar N. The attributable cost and length of hospital stay because of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units in 3 hospitals in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:157-61.
 Panknin HT. [Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: review of national and international guidelines]. Pflege Z 2006:59:suppl 2-8.

5. Rosenthal VD. Health-care-associated infections in developing countries. Lancet 2011;377:186-8.

6. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. Am J Infect Control 2010;38:95-104 e2.

7. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:e1-12.

8. Lynch P, Rosenthal VD, Borg MA, Eremin SR. Infection Control in Developing Countries. In: Jarvis WR, ed. Bennett and Brachman's Hospital Infections Philadelphia: Lipppincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:255.

9. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:16-24.

10. Guanche-Garcell H, Requejo-Pino O, Rosenthal VD, Morales-Perez C, Delgado-Gonzalez O, Fernandez-Gonzalez D. Deviceassociated infection rates in adult intensive care units of Cuban university hospitals: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. Int J Infect Dis 2011;15:e357-62.

11. Leblebicioglu H, Rosenthal VD, Arikan OA, et al. Device-associated hospital-acquired infection rates in Turkish intensive care units. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007;65:251-7.

12. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007;67:168-74.

13. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27:349-56.

14. Ramirez Barba EJ, Rosenthal VD, Higuera F, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units in four Mexican public hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2006;34:244-7.

15. Rosenthal VD. Device-associated nosocomial infections in limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Am J Infect Control 2008;36:S171 e7-12.

16. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of Argentina. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004;25:251-5.

17. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Salomao R, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infections in 55 intensive care units of 8 developing countries. Ann Intern Med 2006;145:582-91.

18. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grimberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:195-202.

19. Velasco E, Thuler LC, Martins CA, Dias LM, Goncalves VM. Nosocomial infections in an oncology intensive care unit. Am J Infect Control 1997:25:458-62.

20. Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL, Jr., et al. Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. Public Health Rep 2007;122:160-6.

21. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006, issued June 2007. Am J Infect Control 2007;35:290-301.

22. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, Dudeck MA, Pollock DA, Horan TC. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006 through 2007, issued November 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:609-26.

23. Allegranzi B, Nejad SB, Combescure C, et al. Burden of endemic healthcare-associated infection in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2010;In Press.

24. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Orellano PW. Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: attributable mortality and length of stay. American Journal of Infection Control 2003;31:291-5.

25. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grimberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Revista panamericana de salud publica = Pan American journal of public health 2008;24:195-202.

26. Tao L, Hu B, Rosenthal V, Gao X, He L. Device-Associated Infections Rates in 398 Intensive Care Units from Shanghai, China: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. Int J Infect Dis 2011;In Press.

27. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2006;27:349-56.

28. Guanche-Garcell H, Requejo-Pino O, Rosenthal VD, Morales-Perez C, Delgado-Gonzalez O, Fernandez-Gonzalez D. Deviceassociated infection rates in adult intensive care units of Cuban university hospitals: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011;15:e357-62.

29. Rasslan O, Salah-Seliem Z, Abdullorziz-Ghazi I, et al. Device-Associated Infection Rates in Adult and Paediatric Intensive Care Units of Hospitals in Egypt. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. In Press 2012;In Press.

30. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: Findings of the INICC. J Infect Dev Ctries 2011;5:445-51.

31. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007;67:168-74.

32. Ramirez Barba EJ, Rosenthal VD, Higuera F, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units in four Mexican public hospitals. American Journal of Infection Control 2006;34:244-7.

33. Madani N, Rosenthal VD, Dendane T, Abidi K, Zeggwagh AA, Abouqal R. Health-care associated infections rates, length of stay, and bacterial resistance in an intensive care unit of Morocco: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Int Arch Med 2009;2:29.

34. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Revista panamericana de salud publica = Pan American journal of public health 2008;24:16-24.

35. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. American Journal of Infection Control 2011;39:548-54.

36. Kubler A, Duszynska W, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and extra length of stay in an intensive care unit of a university hospital in Wroclaw, Poland: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium's (INICC) findings. Journal of critical care 2011.

 Leblebicioglu H, Rosenthal VD, Arikan OA, et al. Device-associated hospital-acquired infection rates in Turkish intensive care units. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). The Journal of hospital infection 2007;65:251-7.
 Kanj S, Kanafani Z, Sidani N, Alamuddin L, Zahreddine N, Rosenthal V. International nosocomial infection control consortium

findings of device-associated infections rate in an intensive care unit of a lebanese university hospital. Journal of Global Infectious Diseases 2012;4:15-21.

39. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Salomao R, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infections in 55 intensive care units of 8 developing countries. Annals of Internal Medicine 2006;145:582-91.

40. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:627-37.

41. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. American Journal of Infection Control 2010;38:95-104 e2.

42. Rosenthal VD, Bijie H, Maki DG, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 36 countries, for 2004-2009. American Journal of Infection Control 2012;In Press.

43. Rosenthal VD, Lynch P, Jarvis WR, et al. Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in limited-resource neonatal intensive care units: findings of the INICC. Infection 2011;39:439-50.

44. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central lineassociated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: A prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:475-80.

45. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grinberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:195-202.

46. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: Findings of the INICC. J Infect Dev Ctries 2011;5:445-51.

47. Higuera F, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rosenthal VD, et al. Attributable cost and length of stay for patients with central venous catheterassociated bloodstream infection in Mexico City intensive care units: a prospective, matched analysis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:31-5.

48. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. Am J Infect Control 2011.

49. Kanj S, Nada Z, VD. R, Nisreen S, Lamia A, Zeina K. Device-Associated Infections Rate in an Intensive Care Unit of a Lebanese University Hospital: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. Journal of Global Infectious Diseases 2011;In Press.

50. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:627-37.

51. Rosenthal VD, Hu B, Maki DG, Navoa-Ng JA. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2004-2009. Am J Infect Control (In Press) 2011.

52. Rosenthal VD, Lynch P, Jarvis WR, et al. Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in limited-resource neonatal intensive care units: findings of the INICC. Infection 2011.

53. Rosenthal VD, Udwadia FE, Munoz HJ, et al. Time-dependent analysis of extra length of stay and mortality due to ventilatorassociated pneumonia in intensive-care units of ten limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Epidemiol Infect 2011:1-7.

54. Rosenthal VD, Dwivedy A, Calderon ME, et al. Time-dependent analysis of length of stay and mortality due to urinary tract infections in ten developing countries: INICC findings. J Infect 2011;62:136-41.

55. Pawar M, Mehta Y, Purohit A, Trehan N, Rosenthal VD. Resistance in gram-negative bacilli in a cardiac intensive care unit in India: risk factors and outcome. Ann Card Anaesth 2008;11:20-6.

56. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:e1-12.

57. Rosenthal VD. Device-associated nosocomial infections in limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Am J Infect Control 2008;36:S171 e7-12.

58. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. American journal of infection control 2011.

59. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Safdar N. The attributable cost and length of hospital stay because of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units in 3 hospitals in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:157-61.

60. Rosenthal VD, Udwadia FE, Munoz HJ, et al. Time-dependent analysis of extra length of stay and mortality due to ventilatorassociated pneumonia in intensive-care units of ten limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Epidemiology and infection 2011:1-7.

61. Hidron AI, Edwards JR, Patel J, et al. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcareassociated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29:996-1011.

62. Townsend TR, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in a newborn intensive care unit: a case-matched control study of morbidity, mortality and risk. Am J Epidemiol 1981;114:73-80.

63. Pessoa-Silva CL, Miyasaki CH, de Almeida MF, Kopelman BI, Raggio RL, Wey SB. Neonatal late-onset bloodstream infection: attributable mortality, excess of length of stay and risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol 2001;17:715-20.

64. Stoll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, et al. Late-onset sepsis in very low birth weight neonates: the experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics 2002;110:285-91.

65. Powers RJ, Wirtschafter DW. Decreasing central line associated bloodstream infection in neonatal intensive care. Clin Perinatol 2010;37:247-72.

66. Hughes JM. Study on the efficacy of nosocomial infection control (SENIC Project): results and implications for the future. Chemotherapy 1988;34:553-61.

67. Rosenthal VD. Central line-associated bloodstream infections in limited-resource countries: a review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1899-907.

68. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:405-9.

69. Tao L, Hu B, Rosenthal VD, Zhang Y, Gao X, He L. Impact of a multidimensional approach on ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a hospital of Shanghai: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Journal of critical care 2012.
 70. Rosenthal VD, Rodrigues C, Álvarez-Moreno C, et al. Effectiveness of a Multi-faceted Approach for Prevention of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Adult Intensive Care Units from 14 Developing Countries: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Critical Care Medicine. 2012. In Press.

71. Rosenthal VD, Alvarez-Moreno C, Villamil-Gomez W, et al. Effectiveness of a multidimensional approach to reduce ventilatorassociated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care units of 5 developing countries: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium findings. American Journal of Infection Control 2011.

72. Rosenthal VD, Rodríguez-Calderón MD, Rodríguez-Ferrer M. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Part II: Impact of a Multidimensional Strategy to Reduce Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Neonatal Intensive Care Units in Ten Developing Countries. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2012. In Press

73. Rosenthal VD, McCormick RD, Guzman S, Villamayor C, Orellano PW. Effect of education and performance feedback on handwashing: the benefit of administrative support in Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:85-92.

74. Emori TG, Culver DH, Horan TC, et al. National nosocomial infections surveillance system (NNIS): description of surveillance methods. Am J Infect Control 1991;19:19-35.

75. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:309-32.

76. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. American Journal of Infection Control 2003;31:405-9.

77. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Effect of education and performance feedback on rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in intensive care units in Argentina. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2004;25:47-50.

78. Higuera F, Rosenthal VD, Duarte P, Ruiz J, Franco G, Safdar N. The effect of process control on the incidence of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections and mortality in intensive care units in Mexico. Crit Care Med 2005;33:2022-7.

79. Sax H, Allegranzi B, Chraiti MN, Boyce J, Larson E, Pittet D. The World Health Organization hand hygiene observation method. Am J Infect Control 2009;37:827-34.

80. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Impact of an infection control program on rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units in 2 Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2006;34:58-63.

81. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Rodrigues C, et al. Impact of International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in the intensive care units of 15 developing countries. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:1264-72.

82. Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29 Suppl 1:S31-40.

83. Youngquist P, Carroll M, Farber M, et al. Implementing a ventilator bundle in a community hospital. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf 2007;33:219-25.

84. Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R. Guidelines for preventing health-care--associated pneumonia, 2003: recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR Recommendations and reports : Morbidity and mortality weekly report Recommendations and reports / Centers for Disease Control 2004;53:1-36.

85. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HIPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. American Journal of Infection Control 2002;30:S1-46.

86. Antonelli M, Conti G, Rocco M, et al. A comparison of noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation and conventional mechanical ventilation in patients with acute respiratory failure. The New England journal of medicine 1998;339:429-35.

Brochard L. Mechanical ventilation: invasive versus noninvasive. The European respiratory journal Supplement 2003;47:31s-7s.
 Girou E, Brun-Buisson C, Taille S, Lemaire F, Brochard L. Secular trends in nosocomial infections and mortality associated with noninvasive ventilation in patients with exacerbation of COPD and pulmonary edema. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 2003;290:2985-91.

89. Kollef MH. Prevention of hospital-associated pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Critical Care Medicine 2004;32:1396-405.

90. Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al. Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The New England journal of medicine 1995;333:817-22.

91. Nava S, Ambrosino N, Clini E, et al. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in the weaning of patients with respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized, controlled trial. Annals of Internal Medicine 1998;128:721-8.

92. Girou E, Schortgen F, Delclaux C, et al. Association of noninvasive ventilation with nosocomial infections and survival in

critically ill patients. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 2000;284:2361-7.

93. Nourdine K, Combes P, Carton MJ, Beuret P, Cannamela A, Ducreux JC. Does noninvasive ventilation reduce the ICU nosocomial infection risk? A prospective clinical survey. Intensive Care Medicine 1999;25:567-73.

94. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. Am J Resp Crit Care 2005;171:388-416.

95. Burns KE, Adhikari NK, Meade MO. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation as a weaning strategy for intubated adults with respiratory failure. Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2003:CD004127.

96. Erhart LM, Rangel MC, Lu PJ, Singleton JA. Prevalence and characteristics of children at increased risk for complications from influenza, United States, 2000. The Journal of pediatrics 2004;144:191-5.

97. Kollef MH. Avoidance of tracheal intubation as a strategy to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. Intensive Care Medicine 1999;25:553-5.

98. Resar R, Pronovost P, Haraden C, Simmonds T, Rainey T, Nolan T. Using a bundle approach to improve ventilator care processes and reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia. Joint Commission journal on quality and patient safety / Joint Commission Resources 2005;31:243-8.

99. Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, et al. Effect of a nursing-implemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. Critical Care Medicine 1999;27:2609-15.

Dellinger RP, Vincent JL. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign sepsis change bundles and clinical practice. Critical care 2005;9:653-4.

101. Babcock HM, Zack JE, Garrison T, et al. An educational intervention to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in an integrated health system: a comparison of effects. Chest 2004;125:2224-31.

102. Ely EW, Meade MO, Haponik EF, et al. Mechanical ventilator weaning protocols driven by nonphysician health-care professionals: evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2001;120:454S-63S.

103. Torres A, Serra-Batlles J, Ros E, et al. Pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the effect of body position. Annals of Internal Medicine 1992;116:540-3.

104. Kollef MH. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. A multivariate analysis. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 1993;270:1965-70.

105. Drakulovic MB, Torres A, Bauer TT, Nicolas JM, Nogue S, Ferrer M. Supine body position as a risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients: a randomised trial. Lancet 1999;354:1851-8.

106. van Nieuwenhoven CA, Vandenbroucke-Grauls C, van Tiel FH, et al. Feasibility and effects of the semirecumbent position to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: a randomized study. Critical Care Medicine 2006;34:396-402.

107. Girou E, Buu-Hoi A, Stephan F, et al. Airway colonisation in long-term mechanically ventilated patients. Effect of semi-

recumbent position and continuous subglottic suctioning. Intensive Care Medicine 2004;30:225-33. 108. Niederman MS, Craven DE. Devising strategies for preventing nosocomial pneumonia--should we ignore the stomach? Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 1997;24:320-3.

109. Ibrahim EH, Mehringer L, Prentice D, et al. Early versus late enteral feeding of mechanically ventilated patients: results of a clinical trial. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition 2002;26:174-81.

110. Heyland DK, Drover JW, MacDonald S, Novak F, Lam M. Effect of postpyloric feeding on gastroesophageal regurgitation and pulmonary microaspiration: results of a randomized controlled trial. Critical Care Medicine 2001;29:1495-501.

111. Holzapfel L, Chevret S, Madinier G, et al. Influence of long-term oro- or nasotracheal intubation on nosocomial maxillary sinusitis and pneumonia: results of a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. Critical Care Medicine 1993;21:1132-8.

112. Heyland DK, Drover JW, MacDonald S, Novak F, Lam M. Effect of postpyloric feeding on gastroesophageal regurgitation and pulmonary microaspiration: results of a randomized controlled trial. Crit Care Med 2001;29:1495-501.

113. Elward AM, Warren DK, Fraser VJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care unit patients: risk factors and outcomes. Pediatrics 2002;109:758-64.

114. Torres A, Gatell JM, Aznar E, et al. Re-intubation increases the risk of nosocomial pneumonia in patients needing mechanical ventilation. Am J Resp Crit Care 1995;152:137-41.

115. Dezfulian C, Shojania K, Collard HR, Kim HM, Matthay MA, Saint S. Subglottic secretion drainage for preventing ventilatorassociated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. The American journal of medicine 2005;118:11-8.

116. Rello J, Sonora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rue M, Valles J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. Am J Resp Crit Care 1996;154:111-5.

117. Salord F, Gaussorgues P, Marti-Flich J, et al. Nosocomial maxillary sinusitis during mechanical ventilation: a prospective comparison of orotracheal versus the nasotracheal route for intubation. Intensive Care Medicine 1990;16:390-3.

118. Rouby JJ, Laurent P, Gosnach M, et al. Risk factors and clinical relevance of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis in the critically ill. Am J Resp Crit Care 1994;150:776-83.

119. Holzapfel L, Chastang C, Demingeon G, Bohe J, Piralla B, Coupry A. A randomized study assessing the systematic search for maxillary sinusitis in nasotracheally mechanically ventilated patients. Influence of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis on the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Resp Crit Care 1999;159:695-701.

120. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohrui T, et al. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2002;50:430-3.

121. Maher KG, Bateman ST. Critical appraisal of: Koeman M, van der Ven AJ, Hak E, et al: Oral decontamination with chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 173:1348-1355. Pediatric critical care medicine : a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies 2009;10:242-5.

122. Mori H, Hirasawa H, Oda S, Shiga H, Matsuda K, Nakamura M. Oral care reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU populations. Intensive Care Medicine 2006;32:230-6.

123. DeRiso AJ, 2nd, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. Chest 1996;109:1556-61.

124. Rumbak MJ, Cancio MR. Significant reduction in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus ventilator-associated pneumonia associated with the institution of a prevention protocol. Critical Care Medicine 1995;23:1200-3.

125. Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. American journal of critical care : an official publication, American Association of Critical-Care Nurses 2002;11:567-70.

126. Segers P, Speekenbrink RG, Ubbink DT, van Ogtrop ML, de Mol BA. Prevention of nosocomial infection in cardiac surgery by decontamination of the nasopharynx and oropharynx with chlorhexidine gluconate: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 2006;296:2460-6.

127. Silvestri L, van Saene JJ, van Saene HK, Weir I. Topical chlorhexidine and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Critical Care Medicine 2007;35:2468.

128. Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2007;334:889.

129. Schleder B, Stott K, Lloyd RC. The effect of a comprehensive oral care protocol on patients at risk for ventilator-associated pneumonia. J Advocate Health Care 2002;4:27-30.

130. AACN 6th Edition.

131. Craven DE, Goularte TA, Make BJ. Contaminated condensate in mechanical ventilator circuits. A risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia? The American review of respiratory disease 1984;129:625-8.

132. Kollef MH. Prolonged use of ventilator circuits and ventilator-associated pneumonia: a model for identifying the optimal clinical practice. Chest 1998;113:267-9.

133. Stamm AM. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and frequency of circuit changes. American Journal of Infection Control 1998;26:71-3.

134. Kollef MH, Shapiro SD, Fraser VJ, et al. Mechanical ventilation with or without 7-day circuit changes. A randomized controlled trial. Annals of Internal Medicine 1995;123:168-74.

135. Hess DR, Kallstrom TJ, Mottram CD, Myers TR, Sorenson HM, Vines DL. Care of the ventilator circuit and its relation to ventilator-associated pneumonia. Respiratory care 2003;48:869-79.

136. Dreyfuss D, Djedaini K, Weber P, et al. Prospective study of nosocomial pneumonia and of patient and circuit colonization during mechanical ventilation with circuit changes every 48 hours versus no change. The American review of respiratory disease 1991;143:738-43.

137. Markowicz P, Ricard JD, Dreyfuss D, et al. Safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of mechanical ventilation with humidifying filters changed every 48 hours: a prospective, randomized study. Critical Care Medicine 2000;28:665-71.



www.FLIN.org.ar Foundation to Fight Against Nosocomial Infections

INICC Bundle to Prevent Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infections in Intensive Care Units: An International Perspective.

Purpose

Previously published guidelines are available that provide comprehensive recommendations for detecting and preventing healthcare-associated infections, especially in the USA. The intent of this document is to highlight practical recommendations in a concise format designed to assist acute care hospitals worldwide in implementing and prioritizing their Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLAB) prevention efforts.

Introduction

One of the central premises of healthcare-acquired infection (HAI) control is that thorough surveillance knowledge of the occurrence of infections is essential to effectively address this public health burden. Such accurate knowledge is many times underestimated, and the actual, critical impact that HAI internationally is difficult to assess.¹⁻³

From the available literature, it is highly visible that the adverse consequences of HAI in the developing world —that is, attributable mortality,⁴⁻²³ prolonged length of stay, ^{4,5,7-10,12-14,17-24}extra hospital costs,^{4,10,21}and increased bacterial resistance^{11,24-34} are more far-reaching in terms of severity than in the developed world. The prevalence of HAI internationally was found to at least double the rates published by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control,³⁵ and triple those found in the USA.³⁶

CLAB Rates

In the case of DA-HAIs, the rate of device use internationally was found to be analogous or even lower to the one reported of U.S. ICUs by the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) System;^{37,38} however, pooled mean rates identified in intensive care units (ICUs) internationally by the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) were found to be exceedingly higher than those reported from U.S.'s ICUs by the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN).^{15,38,39}

The systematic review and meta-analysis on the burden of endemic HAI in developing countries by Allegranzi *et al* concluded that HAI prevalence was significantly higher in low and middle low-income countries compared to high-income countries. The density of DAI in critically ill patients was found to be from two- to 19-fold higher than those reported from developed countries.⁴⁰

In a review on incidence of CLABs in limitedresource countries by Rosenthal *et al.* in 2009, it was reported that the CLAB rate ranged from 1.6 to 44.6 cases per 1000 central line days in adult and pediatric intensive care units (ICUs) and from 2.6 to 60.0 cases per 1000 central line days in neonatal ICUs, and was associated with significant extra mortality. $^{\!\!\!\!\!\!^{41}}$

Extra Mortality of CLAB

As regards mortality attributable to HAI internationally, it has been showed in different publications that it can range from 3 to 75.1%.^{115,9-14} In this respect, Rosenthal *et al* have shown mortality due to central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABs) has rates that ranged from 4 to 75.1%.^{9,11} In a review, it was demonstrated that the CLAB rate was associated with significant extra mortality, with an odds ratio ranging from 2.8 to 9.5.⁴¹

Extra LOS and Cost of CLAB

Within the adverse consequences of HAI, prolonged length of stay (LOS) and the correlated extra hospital costs have been shown to cause a high impact at hospital and national levels. In order to calculate the cost of CLAB in intensive care units, a 5-year prospective nested case-control study was undertaken in 6 adult ICUs from 3 hospitals of Argentina, members of INICC. One hundred and forty-two patients with CLAB (cases) and 142 patients without CLAB (controls) were matched for hospital, type of ICU, year of admission, length of stay, gender, age, and average severity of illness score. The mean extra LOS for cases (compared to the controls) was 11.90 days, the mean extra antibiotic defined daily doses was 22.6, the mean extra antibiotic cost was \$1,913, the mean extra cost was \$4,888.42, and the excess mortality was 24.6%.42

An 18- month prospective nested case-control study was undertaken at three hospitals in Mexico City, members of INICC, in four ICUs. Fifty-five patients with CLAB (cases) and 55 patients without CLAB (controls) were compared by analyzing hospital, type of ICU, year of admission, length of stay, gender, age, and average severity of illness score. The results indicated that extra LOS of patients with CLAB was 6.05 days. The mean extra cost of antibiotics amounted to \$598, the mean extra cost of other drugs was \$25.77, and the mean extra cost of hospitalization was \$8,326. The mean extra cost for cases (compared to the controls) amounted to \$11,591. Finally, the extra mortality attributable to BSI was 20%.⁴³

A study to estimate the excess LOSin an intensive care unit (ICU) due to CLAB was performed in hospitals members of INICC in three Latin American countries (Argentina, Brazil and Mexico). An analysis was made by means of a statistical model that accounted for the timing of infection. A cohort of 3,560 patients hospitalized in 11 ICUs was followed for 36,806 days. The average excess LOS due to a CLAB increased and varied between -1.23 days to 4.69 days.⁴⁴

CLAB Impact in Neonatal ICUs

In several studies, researchers have highlighted the extreme vulnerability of neonates hospitalized in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) to mortality attributable to DA-HAI, with rates ranging from 24% in the pre-surfactant era to 11% in the postsurfactant era in the developed countries.45-48 The burden of CLAB in the NICU is not limited to mortality, and newborn sepsis was associated with adverse consequences in the central nervous system, longer duration of mechanical ventilation, and hepatic fibrosis and chronic lung disease higher incidence.^{47,49-52} However, internationally, access to knowledge regarding DA-HAI is scarce, and there is an insufficient recognition of the importance of surveillance for measuring the infection risks, outcomes and processes concerning the neonatal patient hospitalized in the NICU.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ In this respect. a recent study was performed to evaluate the impact of country socioeconomic status and hospital type device-associated healthcare-associated on infections (DA-HAIs) in 30 neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), from hospitals members of INICC in 15 countries. Its findings revealed that DA-HAIs were significantly lower in private than academic hospitals (10.8 vs. 14.3 CLAB per 1,000 catheterdays [p<0.03]), but not different in public and academic hospitals (14.6 vs. 14.3 CLAB per 1,000 catheter-days [p.0.86]).19 Furthermore, CLAB rates found in NICUs enrolled from low-income countries were significantly higher than in lower middle-income countries or upper middle-income countries.19

CLAB Risk factos

In a review about CLAB in developing countries, published by Rosenthal in 2009, a number of structural and behavior reasons were associated with higher rates of CLAB, and among their most common observations were overcrowded ICUs, insufficient rooms for isolation, lack of sinks, lack of medical supplies in general, including but not limited to alcohol hand rub, antiseptic soap, and paper towels. In addition, a lack of supplies for the wearing of maximal barriers during catheter insertion, a lack of clorhexidine (and thus the use of povidone iodine), a lack of needleless connectors (and the subsequent use of three ways stopcocks), the use of vented IV containers instead of closed IV systems, a lack of ready to use drugs (and the subsequent reliance on manual admixture for all drugs) were noted. Moreover, poor performances in infection control practices, such as the case of using cotton balls already impregnated with antiseptic contained in a contaminated container, not covering insertion site with sterile dressing, storing drugs in

already open single use vials, reusing single use vials, leaving needles inserted in multiple use vials, taking fluids from 1000 cc container for dilution of parenteral solutions, and using tacky mats were paramount.⁴¹

In a study published by INICC in 2010, applying process surveillance a number of measures were found as associated with increased risk of CLAB, and they are the following: lack of hand hygiene, hand washing with non antiseptic soup, insufficient skin antisepsis with clorhexidine, lack of sterile gauze or transparent dressing for catheter care, keep the central line in place beyond the needs, use of three ways stop cock, use of open infusion containers, among others.⁵⁷

CLAB Prevention

There are several measures to be considered as basic recommendations for the implementation of an infection control program, which should be consistent with the actual capabilities of the healthcare facility and personnel. The logical initial step is the organization of a surveillance system, as it permits the identification of local problems, distinctively specific to a particular institution, and will thus serve as guide for subsequent changes. Targeted surveillance and calculation of deviceassociated infection rates per 1000 device-days also allows benchmarking with other similar institutions. In this respect, "Outcome Surveillance" developed by INICC includes the systematic standardized measurement of DA-HAI rates and their associated effects: mortality, morbidity, extra length of stay, extra hospital costs, and bacterial resistance. Surveillance data are essential to have an accurate knowledge of the burden of HAI and focus efforts on the areas that need more attention.29,34 Hospitals internationally need to start surveillance of critical areas, such as intensive care units, where DA-HAI pose the most threatening risks for patient safety. This first approach needs to be followed by the surveillance and monitoring of processes. Process Surveillance is necessary to monitor compliance with infection control prevention guidelines and basic measures, such as hand hygiene, vascular catheter care, urinary catheter care, and measures to prevent VAP. Thirdly, a continuing education program on HAI control and prevention must be addressed to healthcare-workers, particularly nurses, who have the greatest risk of transmission of organisms, and are essential to interrupt the transmission of HAI. 30,41,57,59-65

In this respect, the recommendations described in the guidelines published by the Society for Health Care Epidemiology of America (SHEA) the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), Center for disease control and prevention, and World Health Organization provide cost-effective preventative measures, feasibly applicable to infection control programs in developing countries.⁶⁶⁻⁷¹ It is to be noted that a mathematical sector.

It is to be noted that a reduction in DA-HAI rates cannot be expected to derive from surveillance by itself, and such educational efforts may be shortlived if regular reinforcement is absent. For this reason, in a context where there is lack of financial resources, it is compelling to find and show the information on the incidence and magnitude of the burden of HAI at the hospital level. The collection of this data must be used for improvement of patient care practices, higher adherence to published infection control guidelines, and performance feedback.^{4,57,72-76} As reported in different studies internationally, disseminating data on morbidity and mortality due to HAI, and avoidable patient suffering and economic impact, is a necessary approach to move the hospital administration and healthcare workers into supporting the infection control program.⁶⁰

In a time-sequence analysis of the effectiveness of a multi-dimensional approach in reducing rates of central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLAB) in 15 countries from INICC, it was concluded that after implementing the infection control program, adherence to infection control compliance significantly improved, the CLAB incidence was reduced by 54% (16.0 to 7.4 CLABs per 1000 CL-days; RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.33 - 0.63, P< 0.001) and the number of CLAB-associated deaths decreased by 58%.⁵⁷

A recent study was performed by INICC on pediatric intensive care units (PICUs) of 6 developing countries to analyze the impact of a multidimensional infection control approach on CLAB rates. The approach included (1) a bundle of infection control interventions. (2) education. (3) outcome surveillance, (4) process surveillance, (5) feedback of CLAB rates, and (6) performance feedback of infection control practices. After intervention, the CLAB was reduced from baseline by 47% (13.0 to 6.9 CLABs per 1000 CL-days; RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.29 - 0.94, P 0.0271).77 A similar multidimensional approach for CLAB reduction was adopted in another study conducted by INICC in NICUs of 11 developing countries. During baseline, the CLAB rate was 18.1 per 1000 CL days, and after intervention, the CLAB rate decreased to 12.1 per 1000 CL days [RR 0.67 (95% CI 0.51 - 0.8)], showing a 33% CLAB rate reduction.78

The extracted findings from the available trials are representative and consistent evidence of the effectiveness that multi-faceted infection control strategies can have internationally. Within the broad spectrum of infection control, to successfully address the burden of HAI internationally, it has been key to implement surveillance of DA-HAI rates and of processes related to appropriate use and care of devices, educate healthcare workers, assesses their practices, and provide them with feedback of observed processes, and ensure adequate observations of the recommendations set forth in published guidelines. These findings reveal that the reduction of DA-HAIs is feasible and costeffective internationally; therefore, this valid evidence should lead to the mandatory organization of multi-dimensional infection control programs at every hospital.

Conclusion

To conclude, it is necessary to highlight that in order to reduce the hospitalized patients' risk of infection internationally, a multidimensional approach is primary and essential. As a first step it is necessary to include the implementation of DA- HAI surveillance, because it effectively describes and addresses the importance and characteristics of threatening situation created by HAIs. the Additionally, surveillance of DA-HAI has played a fundamental role, not only in increasing the awareness of DAI risks, but also providing an exemplary basis for the institution of infection control practices. It is key that surveillance is implemented along with the monitoring of practices of infection control (process surveillance), practice education. presence of bundles, performance feedback, and feedback of DA-HAI rates and consequences. The high incidence of DA-HAI and mortality has been reduced by carrying out a multidimensional approach, with targeted performance feedback programs for hand hygiene and central line, ventilator, and urinary catheter care.^{55,72,73,76,79,80} Finally, it is of utmost importance to restrict the administration of anti-infective in order to effectively control of antibiotic resistance; however, this subject exceeds the scope of this bundle.

INICC Methodology

The INICC Surveillance Program includes two components: outcome surveillance (CLAB rates and consequences) and process surveillance (adherence to hand hygiene and other basic preventive infection control practices).⁸¹

The investigators at the participating hospitals were required to perform outcome and process surveillance by completing forms, which were then sent for their monthly analysis to the INICC office in Buenos Aires.⁸¹

Outcome Surveillance

The INICC Surveillance Program is focused on the methods and definitions for DAI developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Health Safety Network (NHSN) program.^{82,83} However, the INICC methods have taken into consideration the different socioeconomic status and specific limitations of limited-resource countries, and were adapted for their application in this setting.⁸¹ Outcome surveillance includes rates CLAB per 1000 device-days; microorganism profile, bacterial resistance, length of stay, and mortality in their ICUs.

Process surveillance

Preventive strategies in INICC member hospitals are based on simple, inexpensive, evidence-based measures, which include outcome surveillance, process surveillance, education and performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance.⁸¹

Process surveillance is designed to monitor compliance with easily measurable, key infection control measures. It includes the surveillance of compliance rates for hand hygiene practices and some specific infection control measures for the prevention of CLAB.^{60,62,63,76}

Hand-hygiene (HH) compliance by healthcare workers (HCWs) is determined by measuring the frequency of HH performances when clearly indicated, and such practices are monitored by the hospital's ICP during randomly selected 1-hour observation periods, 3 times a week. Although HCWs know that HH practices are regularly monitored, they are not actually aware of the precise moment in which observations are taking place.⁸¹

ICPs were trained to detect HH compliance and record HH opportunities and compliance through direct observation. The INICC direct observation comprises the "Five Moments for Hand Hygiene," as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). The "Five Moments" were designed on the basis of the evidence concerning DAI prevention and control, and include the monitoring of the following moments: (1) before patient contact, (2) before an aseptic task, (3) after body fluid exposure risk, (4) after patient contact, and (5) after contact with patient surroundings.⁸⁴

Training and Validation

Investigators are self-trained by means of a manual and training tool that describe how to perform surveillance and complete surveillance forms. Investigators have continuous e-mail and telephone access to a support team at the INICC Central Office in Buenos Aires, Argentina, which is in charge of responding to all queries within 24 hours. The INICC Chairman further reviews all queries and responses.

Surveillance forms for individual patients allow internal and external validation, because they include every clinical and microbiological criterion for each type of DAI, such as temperature, blood pressure, use if invasive devices, cultures taken, culture results, antibiotic use. Surveillance also includes a form where positive cultures are registered and matched with patients' forms.

On a monthly basis, participating hospitals submit the completed surveillance forms to the INICC Central Office, where the validity of each case was checked and the recorded signs and symptoms of infection and the results of laboratory studies, radiographic studies, and cultures were scrutinized to assure that the NNIS System criteria for deviceassociated infection were fulfilled.

The ICT member who reviewed the forms completed at the participating AICU was able to verify that criteria for infection had been met accurately in each case. Additionally, the original patient data forms were further validated at the INICC Central Office, before data on the reported infection were entered into the INICC's database. To that end, queries were submitted from INICC office in Buenos Aires to the ICT teams at each hospital, challenging those cases with suspected CLAB, and data were uploaded after receiving the reply from hospital teams. Finally, the INICC team performed consistency analyses of database, such as age, gender, dates, among other data, and reviews of medical records that compared data registered in forms and data in medical records.

Performance Feedback

The concept of using performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance as a valuable control measure in limited-resource hospitals was based on its effectiveness as proved in previous INICC studies.^{60,62,63,76,80,85}

The INICC Central Office team prepared and sent monthly chart reports to each participating hospital that detailed their rates of CLAB, microbiology profile, and rates of adherence to hand hygiene, among other infection related data. The participating ICU staff received feedback on their performance at monthly meetings, by means of the review of said charts, which were posted in a prominent location in the ICU.

Bundle Background

Within the INICC program, the infection prevention bundle was based on the guidelines published by the Society for Health Care Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) in 2008, ⁶⁷ the Center for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2011,⁸⁶ and the Joint Commission for Health Care Accreditation (JCAHO) in 2012.⁸⁷ All them describe evidence-based interventions and recommendations for CLAB prevention in the ICU. Within the international context, outcome and process surveillance, integrated in an intervention bundle with performance feedback of infection control practices, has been shown to successfully reduce and control DAIs in different studies conducted in INICC member hospitals. ^{60,62,63,80,85,88-91} This bundle provides feasible and cost-effective infection control measures applicable internationally.

The INICC bundle consist on the following interventions:

- 1. Educate healthcare personnel regarding indications for intravascular catheter use, proper procedures for insertion and maintenance, and appropriate infection control measures to prevent intravascular catheter-related infections.^{65,92-97} Designate only trained personnel that has demonstrated competency for insertion and maintenance of central intravascular catheters.
- 2. Periodically assess knowledge of and adherence to guidelines among personnel involved in the insertion and care of intravascular catheters.
- 3. Performance of active surveillance for CLAB.⁹⁸
- 4. Use of an all-inclusive catheter cart or kit.⁹⁹
- Use of a catheter checklist to ensure adherence to infection prevention practices at the time of CVC insertion.⁹⁹
- 6. Use a subclavian site, rather than a jugular or a femoral site, in adult patients to minimize infection risk for notunneled CVC placement.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰³ Weigh the risks and benefits of placing a central venous device at a recommended site to reduce infectious complications against the risk for mechanical complications (e.g., pneumothorax, subclavian artery puncture, subclavian veinlaceration, subclavian vein stenosis, hemothorax, thrombosis, air embolism, and catheter misplacement). Avoid using the femoral vein for central venous access in adult patients.
- 7. Use a CVC with minimum number of ports or lumens essential for patient care.¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶
- When possible use ultrasound to guide the placement of central venous catheters to reduce cannulation attempts and mechanical complications. Ultrasound guidance should only performed by professionally trained staff
- 9. Perform hand hygiene, either by washing hands with soap and water or with alcohol based hand rubs (ABHR). Hand hygiene should be performed before palpating catheter insertion sites as well as before and after inserting, replacing, accessing, repairing, or dressing an intravascular catheter. ⁷³Palpation of the insertion site should not be performed after the application of antiseptic, unless aseptic technique is maintained. ^{61,107-109}
- 10. Maintain aseptic technique for the insertion and care of intravascular catheters.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² When aseptic technique cannot be instituted (i.e catheters inserted during a medical

emergency), replace the catheter as soon as possible, i.e, within 48 hours

- 11. Use maximal sterile barrier precautions, including the use of a cap, mask, sterile gown, sterile gloves, and a sterile full body drape, for the insertion of CVCs, PICCs, or guidewire exchange.¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴
- 12. Prepare skin by applying alcohol-based disinfectant containing $\ge 0.5\%$ chlorhexidine before central venous catheter insertion and dressing changes. ¹¹⁵⁻¹²⁰ Antiseptics should be allowed to dry prior to placing the catheter.
- 13. Use either sterile gauze or sterile, transparent, semipermeable dressing to cover catheter site.¹²¹⁻¹²⁶ Change dressing when it is damped, loosened, or visibly soiled. ^{121,122} Change transparent dressing used on short-term CVC at least every 7 days, except in pediatric patients for which the risk for dislodging catheter may $\frac{124}{124}$ outweigh the benefit of dressing change.1 Change gauze dressing used on short-term CVC sites every 2 days. Visual check insertion sites during dressing change, if patient's condition permits. If patients have tenderness at the insertion site, fever without obvious source, and/or other clinical symptoms suggesting a local infection or bloodstream infection, the dressing should be removed to allow thorough examination of the site.
- 14. Do not routinely replace CVCs, PICCs, hemodialysis catheters, or pulmonary artery catheters to prevent catheter related infections.^{127,128}
- 15. Do not submerge catheter or catheter site in water
- 16. Disinfection of line hubs, needleless connectors, and infection ports before accessing the CL.^{129,130}
- 17. In patients not receiving blood, blood products or fat emulsions, replace administration sets that are continuously used, including secondary sets and add-on devices, no more frequently than at 96-hour intervals, but at least every 7 days.¹³¹⁻¹³⁵ Replace tubing used to administer blood, blood products, or fat emulsions (those combined with amino acids and glucose in a 3-in-1 admixture or infused separately) within 24 hours of initiating the infusion. ¹³⁶⁻¹³⁹ Replace tubing used to administer propofol infusions every 6 or 12 hours, when the vial is changed, per the manufacturer's recommendation.¹⁴⁰
- 18. Employ hospital-specific or collaborativebased performance improvement initiatives in which multifaceted strategies are 'Bundled' together to improve compliance with evidencebased recommended practices.^{57,63,141}

- 19. Daily assessment the necessity of catheter, and promptly remove unnecessary catheters.¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴ Removal of nonessential catheters.^{145,146}
- 20. Performance of direct observation of hand hygiene compliance; placement and condition of sterile gauze or sterile polyurethane dressing on the insertion site;^{15,68}recording of the date of catheter insertion and last administration set change;^{15,67}gauze dressing replacement every 48 hours and replacement of transparent semipermeable membrane dressings, at least, every 7 days, with the recording of the date and time

of the dressing replacement; using structured observation tools at regularly scheduled intervals.^{15,147}

- 21. Do not administer systemic antimicrobial prophylaxis routinely before insertion or during use of an intravascular catheter to prevent catheter colonization or CLABSI.
- 22. Do not use topical antibiotic ointment or creams on insertion sites, except for dialysis catheters, because of their potential to promote fungal infections and antimicrobial resistance

References:

1. Burke JP. Infection control - a problem for patient safety. N Engl J Med 2003;348:651-6.

2. Bates DW, Larizgoitia I, Prasopa-Plaizier N, Jha AK. Global priorities for patient safety research. BMJ 2009;338:b1775.

3. Pittet D, Donaldson L. Clean Care is Safer Care: a worldwide priority. Lancet 2005;366:1246-7.

4. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central lineassociated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: A prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:475-80.

5. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grinberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:195-202.

6. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27:349-56.

7. Guanche-Garcell H, Requejo-Pino O, Rosenthal VD, Morales-Perez C, Delgado-Gonzalez O, Fernandez-Gonzalez D. Deviceassociated infection rates in adult intensive care units of Cuban university hospitals: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. Int J Infect Dis 2011;15:e357-62.

8. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: Findings of the INICC. J Infect Dev Ctries 2011;5:445-51.

9. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007;67:168-74.

10. Higuera F, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rosenthal VD, et al. Attributable cost and length of stay for patients with central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infection in Mexico City intensive care units: a prospective, matched analysis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:31-5.

11. Madani N, Rosenthal VD, Dendane T, Abidi K, Zeggwagh AA, Abouqal R. Health-care associated infections rates, length of stay, and bacterial resistance in an intensive care unit of Morocco: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Int Arch Med 2009;2:29.

12. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:16-24.

13. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. Am J Infect Control 2011.

14. Kanj S, Nada Z, VD. R, Nisreen S, Lamia A, Zeina K. Device-Associated Infections Rate in an Intensive Care Unit of a Lebanese University Hospital: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. Journal of Global Infectious Diseases 2011;In Press.

15. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Salomao R, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infections in 55 intensive care units of 8 developing countries. Ann Intern Med 2006;145:582-91.

16. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:627-37.

17. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. Am J Infect Control 2010;38:95-104 e2.

18. Rosenthal VD, Hu B, Maki DG, Navoa-Ng JA. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2004-2009. Am J Infect Control (In Press) 2011.

19. Rosenthal VD, Lynch P, Jarvis WR, et al. Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in limited-resource neonatal intensive care units: findings of the INICC. Infection 2011.

20. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Orellano PW. Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: attributable mortality and length of stay. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:291-5.

21. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Safdar N. The attributable cost and length of hospital stay because of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units in 3 hospitals in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:157-61.

22. Rosenthal VD, Udwadia FE, Munoz HJ, et al. Time-dependent analysis of extra length of stay and mortality due to ventilatorassociated pneumonia in intensive-care units of ten limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Epidemiol Infect 2011:1-7.

23. Rosenthal VD, Dwivedy A, Calderon ME, et al. Time-dependent analysis of length of stay and mortality due to urinary tract infections in ten developing countries: INICC findings. J Infect 2011;62:136-41.

24. Kubler A, Duszynska W, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and extra length of stay in an intensive care unit of a university hospital in Wroclaw, Poland: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium's (INICC) findings. Journal of critical care 2011.

25. Pawar M, Mehta Y, Purohit A, Trehan N, Rosenthal VD. Resistance in gram-negative bacilli in a cardiac intensive care unit in India: risk factors and outcome. Ann Card Anaesth 2008;11:20-6.

26. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grimberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:195-202.

27. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:627-37.

28. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. Am J Infect Control 2010;38:95-104 e2.

29. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:e1-12.

30. Rosenthal VD. Device-associated nosocomial infections in limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Am J Infect Control 2008;36:S171 e7-12.

31. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. American journal of infection control 2011.

2012 by The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). All rights reserved.

URL: www.INICC.org. Phone: 54-11-4861-5826. Address: Corrientes # 4580, Floor 12 Apt D. City of Buenos Aires. ZIP C1195AAR. Argentina.

32. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27:349-56.

33. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007;67:168-74.

34. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2008;24:16-24.

35. Velasco E, Thuler LC, Martins CA, Dias LM, Goncalves VM. Nosocomial infections in an oncology intensive care unit. Am J Infect Control 1997;25:458-62.

36. Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL, Jr., et al. Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. Public Health Rep 2007;122:160-6.

37. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006, issued June 2007. Am J Infect Control 2007;35:290-301.

38. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, Dudeck MA, Pollock DA, Horan TC. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006 through 2007, issued November 2008. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:609-26.

39. Rosenthal VD. Health-care-associated infections in developing countries. Lancet 2011;377:186-8.

40. Allegranzi B, Nejad SB, Combescure C, et al. Burden of endemic healthcare-associated infection in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2010;In Press.

41. Rosenthal VD. Central line-associated bloodstream infections in limited-resource countries: a review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1899-907.

42. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central lineassociated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: A prospective, matched analysis. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:475-80.

43. Higuera F, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rosenthal VD, et al. Attributable cost and length of stay for patients with central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infection in Mexico City intensive care units: a prospective, matched analysis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:31-5.

Barnett AG, Graves N, Rosenthal VD, Salomao R, Rangel-Frausto MS. Excess length of stay due to central line-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care units in Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:1106-14.
Townsend TR, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in a newborn intensive care unit: a case-matched control study of morbidity, mortality and risk. Am J Epidemiol 1981;114:73-80.

46. Pessoa-Silva CL, Miyasaki CH, de Almeida MF, Kopelman BI, Raggio RL, Wey SB. Neonatal late-onset bloodstream infection: attributable mortality, excess of length of stay and risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol 2001;17:715-20.

47. Stoll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, et al. Late-onset sepsis in very low birth weight neonates: the experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics 2002;110:285-91.

48. Powers RJ, Wirtschafter DW. Decreasing central line associated bloodstream infection in neonatal intensive care. Clin Perinatol 2010;37:247-72.

49. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I, et al. Neurodevelopmental and growth impairment among extremely low-birthweight infants with neonatal infection. JAMA 2004;292:2357-65.

50. Shah DK, Doyle LW, Anderson PJ, et al. Adverse neurodevelopment in preterm infants with postnatal sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis is mediated by white matter abnormalities on magnetic resonance imaging at term. J Pediatr 2008;153:170-5, 5 e1.

51. Lahra MM, Beeby PJ, Jeffery HE. Intrauterine inflammation, neonatal sepsis, and chronic lung disease: a 13-year hospital cohort study. Pediatrics 2009;123:1314-9.

52. Hermans D, Talbotec C, Lacaille F, Goulet O, Ricour C, Colomb V. Early central catheter infections may contribute to hepatic fibrosis in children receiving long-term parenteral nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007;44:459-63.

53. Hughes JM. Study on the efficacy of nosocomial infection control (SENIC Project): results and implications for the future. Chemotherapy 1988;34:553-61.

54. Rosenthal VD. Central line-associated bloodstream infections in limited-resource countries: a review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1899-907.

55. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:405-9.

56. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: Findings of the INICC. J Infect Dev Ctries 2011;5:445-51.

57. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Rodrigues C, et al. Impact of International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in the intensive care units of 15 developing countries. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2010;31:1264-72.

58. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): Goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:e1-e12.

59. Vilins M, Blecher S, Silva MA, Rosenthal VD, Barker K, Salomao R. Rate and time to develop first central line-associated bloodstream infections when comparing open and closed infusion containers in a Brazilian Hospital. Braz J Infect Dis 2009;13:335-40.
60. Rosenthal VD, McCormick RD, Guzman S, Villamayor C, Orellano PW. Effect of education and performance feedback on handwashing: the benefit of administrative support in Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:85-92.

61. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Reduction in nosocomial infection with improved hand hygiene in intensive care units of a tertiary care hospital in Argentina. American Journal of Infection Control 2005;33;392-7.

62. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Effect of education and performance feedback on rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in intensive care units in Argentina. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2004;25:47-50.

63. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:405-9.

2012 by The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). All rights reserved.

URL: www.INICC.org. Phone: 54-11-4861-5826. Address: Corrientes # 4580, Floor 12 Apt D. City of Buenos Aires. ZIP C1195AAR. Argentina.

64. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Impact of an infection control program on rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units in 2 Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2006;34:58-63.

65. Higuera F, Rosenthal VD, Duarte P, Ruiz J, Franco G, Safdar N. The effect of process control on the incidence of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections and mortality in intensive care units in Mexico. Crit Care Med 2005;33:2022-7.
66. Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29 Suppl 1:S31-40.

67. Marschall J, Mermel LA, Classen D, et al. Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29 Suppl 1:S22-30.

68. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Am J Infect Control 2011;39:S1-34.

69. Gould CV, Umscheid CA, Agarwal RK, Kuntz G, Pegues DA. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:319-26.

70. Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Boyce J. The World Health Organization Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care and their consensus recommendations. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;30:611-22.

71. Anderson DJ, Kaye KS, Classen D, et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute care hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29 Suppl 1:S51-61.

72. Rosenthal VD, McCormick RD, Guzman S, Villamayor C, Orellano PW. Effect of education and performance feedback on handwashing: the benefit of administrative support in Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2003;31:85-92.

73. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Reduction in nosocomial infection with improved hand hygiene in intensive care units of a tertiary care hospital in Argentina. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:392-7.

74. Franzetti F, Rosenthal VD, Meazza N, Russolillo V, Albertin S, Raimondi F. Outcome Surveillance Plus Feedback Effect On Rates Of Ventilator Associated Pneumonia And Mortality In Italian Intensive Care Units. In: Proceedings and Abstract of 7th Annual Meeting of the International Federation Meeting of Infection Control; 2006 4th July; Spier Estate, Stellenbosch, South Africa; 2006. p. 34.

75. Higuera F, Duarte P, Franco G, et al. Prospective Study to Evaluate Hand Washing Compliance in Public Hospitals from Mexico. Behaviour Comparison Between Different Stratums. . In: Proceedings and Abstracts of the Fifth Pan-American Congress Of Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology; 2004 October, 7th to 10th; Lima, Peru; 2004. p. 21.

Higuera F, Rosenthal VD, Duarte P, Ruiz J, Franco G, Safdar N. The effect of process control on the incidence of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections and mortality in intensive care units in Mexico. Crit Care Med 2005;33:2022-7.
Rosenthal V, Ramachandran B, Villamil-Gómez W, Armas-Ruiz A, Navoa-Ng J. Impact of a Multidimensional Infection Control Strategy on Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections Rates and Mortality in Pediatric Intensive Care Units of 6

Developing Countries: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Infection 2011;In Press.
78. Rosenthal V, Berba R, Dueñas L, Aygun C, Sobreyra-Oropeza M, Barkat A. Effectiveness of Multi-Faceted Infection Control Program to Reduce Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections in Neonatal Intensive Care Units of 11 Developing Countries: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) American journal of infection control 2011;In Press.
79. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Effect of education and performance feedback on rates of catheter-associated urinary

tract infection in intensive care units in Argentina. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004;25:47-50.

80. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Impact of an infection control program on rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units in 2 Argentinean hospitals. Am J Infect Control 2006;34:58-63.

81. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:e1-12.

82. Emori TG, Culver DH, Horan TC, et al. National nosocomial infections surveillance system (NNIS): description of surveillance methods. Am J Infect Control 1991;19:19-35.

83. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:309-32.

84. Sax H, Allegranzi B, Chraiti MN, Boyce J, Larson E, Pittet D. The World Health Organization hand hygiene observation method. Am J Infect Control 2009;37:827-34.

85. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Rodrigues C, et al. Impact of International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in the intensive care units of 15 developing countries. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:1264-72.

86. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2011;52:e162-93.

87. Preventing Central Line-Associated Infections: A Global Challenge, A Global Perspective. 2012. (Accessed at http://www.jointcommission.org/preventing_clabsi/.)

88. Rosenthal VD, Rodríguez-Calderón MD, Rodríguez-Ferrer M. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Part II: Impact of a Multidimensional Strategy to Reduce Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Neonatal Intensive Care Units in Ten Developing Countries. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2012. In Press

89. Rosenthal VD, Alvarez-Moreno C, Villamil-Gomez W, et al. Effectiveness of a multidimensional approach to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care units of 5 developing countries: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium findings. American Journal of Infection Control 2011.

90. Rosenthal VD, Rodrigues C, Álvarez-Moreno C, et al. Effectiveness of a Multi-faceted Approach for Prevention of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Adult Intensive Care Units from 14 Developing Countries: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Critical Care Medicine. 2012. In Press.

91. Tao L, Hu B, Rosenthal VD, Zhang Y, Gao X, He L. Impact of a multidimensional approach on ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a hospital of Shanghai: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. Journal of critical care 2012.

92. Yoo S, Ha M, Choi D, Pai H. Effectiveness of surveillance of central catheter-related bloodstream infection in an ICU in Korea. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2001;22:433-6.

93. Warren DK, Zack JE, Cox MJ, Cohen MM, Fraser VJ. An educational intervention to prevent catheter-associated bloodstream infections in a nonteaching, community medical center. Crit Care Med 2003;31:1959-63.

2012 by The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). All rights reserved. URL: www.INICC.org. Phone: 54-11-4861-5826. Address: Corrientes # 4580, Floor 12 Apt D. City of Buenos Aires. ZIP C1195AAR. Argentina. 94. Warren DK, Zack JE, Mayfield JL, et al. The effect of an education program on the incidence of central venous catheterassociated bloodstream infection in a medical ICU. Chest 2004;126:1612-8.

95. Warren DK, Cosgrove SE, Diekema DJ, et al. A multicenter intervention to prevent catheter-associated bloodstream infections. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27:662-9.

96. Coopersmith CM, Rebmann TL, Zack JE, et al. Effect of an education program on decreasing catheter-related bloodstream infections in the surgical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2002;30:59-64.

97. Eggimann P, Harbarth S, Constantin MN, Touveneau S, Chevrolet JC, Pittet D. Impact of a prevention strategy targeted at vascular-access care on incidence of infections acquired in intensive care. Lancet 2000;355:1864-8.

98. Gastmeier P, Geffers C, Brandt C, et al. Effectiveness of a nationwide nosocomial infection surveillance system for reducing nosocomial infections. J Hosp Infect 2006;64:16-22.

99. Berenholtz SM, Pronovost PJ, Lipsett PA, et al. Eliminating catheter-related bloodstream infections in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2004;32:2014-20.

100. Merrer J, De Jonghe B, Golliot F, et al. Complications of femoral and subclavian venous catheterization in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled trial. Jama 2001;286:700-7.

101. Goetz AM, Wagener MM, Miller JM, Muder RR. Risk of infection due to central venous catheters: effect of site of placement and catheter type. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 1998;19:842-5.

102. Robinson JF, Robinson WA, Cohn A, Garg K, Armstrong JD, 2nd. Perforation of the great vessels during central venous line placement. Archives of Internal Medicine 1995;155:1225-8.

103. Scarpinato L. Perforation of great vessels during central venous line placement. Archives of Internal Medicine 1996;156:1028-9.

104. Early TF, Gregory RT, Wheeler JR, Snyder SO, Jr., Gayle RG. Increased infection rate in double-lumen versus single-lumen Hickman catheters in cancer patients. Southern medical journal 1990;83:34-6.

105. Hilton E, Haslett TM, Borenstein MT, Tucci V, Isenberg HD, Singer C. Central catheter infections: single- versus triplelumen catheters. Influence of guide wires on infection rates when used for replacement of catheters. The American journal of medicine 1988;84:667-72.

106. Yeung C, May J, Hughes R. Infection rate for single lumen v triple lumen subclavian catheters. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 1988;9:154-8.

107. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 2002;23:S3-40.

108. Bischoff WE, Reynolds TM, Sessler CN, Edmond MB, Wenzel RP. Handwashing compliance by health care workers: The impact of introducing an accessible, alcohol-based hand antiseptic. Archives of Internal Medicine 2000;160:1017-21.

109. Pittet D, Dharan S, Touveneau S, Sauvan V, Perneger TV. Bacterial contamination of the hands of hospital staff during routine patient care. Archives of Internal Medicine 1999;159:821-6.

110. Mermel LA, McCormick RD, Springman SR, Maki DG. The pathogenesis and epidemiology of catheter-related infection with pulmonary artery Swan-Ganz catheters: a prospective study utilizing molecular subtyping. The American journal of medicine 1991;91:1978-2058.

111. Capdevila JA, Segarra A, Pahissa A. Catheter-related bacteremia in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Annals of Internal Medicine 1998;128:600.

112. Raad, II, Hohn DC, Gilbreath BJ, et al. Prevention of central venous catheter-related infections by using maximal sterile barrier precautions during insertion. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 1994;15:231-8.

113. Carrer S, Bocchi A, Bortolotti M, et al. Effect of different sterile barrier precautions and central venous catheter dressing on the skin colonization around the insertion site. Minerva anestesiologica 2005;71:197-206.

114. Raad, II, Hohn DC, Gilbreath BJ, et al. Prevention of central venous catheter-related infections by using maximal sterile barrier precautions during insertion. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1994;15:231-8.

115. Maki DG, Ringer M, Alvarado CJ. Prospective randomised trial of povidone-iodine, alcohol, and chlorhexidine for prevention of infection associated with central venous and arterial catheters. Lancet 1991;338:339-43.

116. Mimoz O, Pieroni L, Lawrence C, et al. Prospective, randomized trial of two antiseptic solutions for prevention of central venous or arterial catheter colonization and infection in intensive care unit patients. Critical Care Medicine 1996;24:1818-23.

117. Chaiyakunapruk N, Veenstra DL, Lipsky BA, Saint S. Chlorhexidine compared with povidone-iodine solution for vascular catheter-site care: a meta-analysis. Annals of Internal Medicine 2002;136:792-801.

118. Soothill JS, Bravery K, Ho A, Macqueen S, Collins J, Lock P. A fall in bloodstream infections followed a change to 2% chlorhexidine in 70% isopropanol for catheter connection antisepsis: a pediatric single center before/after study on a hemopoietic stem cell transplant ward. Am J Infect Control 2009;37:626-30.

119. Humar A, Ostromecki A, Direnfeld J, et al. Prospective randomized trial of 10% povidone-iodine versus 0.5% tincture of chlorhexidine as cutaneous antisepsis for prevention of central venous catheter infection. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31:1001-7.

120. Chaiyakunapruk N, Veenstra DL, Lipsky BA, Saint S. Chlorhexidine compared with povidone-iodine solution for vascular catheter-site care: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2002;136:792-801.

121. Maki DG, Stolz SS, Wheeler S, Mermel LA. A prospective, randomized trial of gauze and two polyurethane dressings for site care of pulmonary artery catheters: implications for catheter management. Critical Care Medicine 1994;22:1729-37.

122. Bijma R, Girbes AR, Kleijer DJ, Zwaveling JH. Preventing central venous catheter-related infection in a surgical intensivecare unit. Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America 1999;20:618-20.

Madeo M, Martin CR, Turner C, Kirkby V, Thompson DR. A randomized trial comparing Arglaes (a transparent dressing containing silver ions) to Tegaderm (a transparent polyurethane dressing) for dressing peripheral arterial catheters and central vascular catheters. Intensive & critical care nursing : the official journal of the British Association of Critical Care Nurses 1998;14:187-91.
Laura R, Degl'Innocenti M, Mocali M, et al. Comparison of two different time interval protocols for central venous catheter dressing in bone marrow transplant patients: results of a randomized, multicenter study. The Italian Nurse Bone Marrow Transplant Group (GITMO). Haematologica 2000;85:275-9.

125. Maki DG, Ringer M. Evaluation of dressing regimens for prevention of infection with peripheral intravenous catheters. Gauze, a transparent polyurethane dressing, and an iodophor-transparent dressing. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 1987;258:2396-403.

126. Hoffmann KK, Weber DJ, Samsa GP, Rutala WA. Transparent polyurethane film as an intravenous catheter dressing. A metaanalysis of the infection risks. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 1992;267:2072-6.

127. Eyer S, Brummitt C, Crossley K, Siegel R, Cerra F. Catheter-related sepsis: prospective, randomized study of three methods of long-term catheter maintenance. Critical Care Medicine 1990;18:1073-9.

128. Uldall PR, Merchant N, Woods F, Yarworski U, Vas S. Changing subclavian haemodialysis cannulas to reduce infection. Lancet 1981;1:1373.

129. Casey AL, Worthington T, Lambert PA, Quinn D, Faroqui MH, Elliott TS. A randomized, prospective clinical trial to assess the potential infection risk associated with the PosiFlow needleless connector. J Hosp Infect 2003;54:288-93.

130. Luebke MA, Arduino MJ, Duda DL, et al. Comparison of the microbial barrier properties of a needleless and a conventional needle-based intravenous access system. Am J Infect Control 1998;26:437-41.

131. Gillies D, O'Riordan L, Wallen M, Morrison A, Rankin K, Nagy S. Optimal timing for intravenous administration set replacement. Anesthesia and analgesia 2006;102:964.

132. Sitges-Serra A, Linares J, Perez JL, Jaurrieta E, Lorente L. A randomized trial on the effect of tubing changes on hub contamination and catheter sepsis during parenteral nutrition. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition 1985;9:322-5.

133. Snydman DR, Donnelly-Reidy M, Perry LK, Martin WJ. Intravenous tubing containing burettes can be safely changed at 72 hour intervals. Infection control : IC 1987;8:113-6.

134. Maki DG, Botticelli JT, LeRoy ML, Thielke TS. Prospective study of replacing administration sets for intravenous therapy at 48- vs 72-hour intervals. 72 hours is safe and cost-effective. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 1987;258:1777-81.

135. Josephson A, Gombert ME, Sierra MF, Karanfil LV, Tansino GF. The relationship between intravenous fluid contamination and the frequency of tubing replacement. Infection control : IC 1985;6:367-70.

136. Melly MA, Meng HC, Schaffner W. Microbiol growth in lipid emulsions used in parenteral nutrition. Archives of Surgery 1975;110:1479-81.

137. Mershon J, Nogami W, Williams JM, Yoder C, Eitzen HE, Lemons JA. Bacterial/fungal growth in a combined parenteral nutrition solution. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition 1986;10:498-502.

138. Gilbert M, Gallagher SC, Eads M, Elmore MF. Microbial growth patterns in a total parenteral nutrition formulation containing lipid emulsion. JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition 1986;10:494-7.

139. Maki DG, Martin WT. Nationwide epidemic of septicemia caused by contaminated infusion products. IV. Growth of microbial pathogens in fluids for intravenous infusions. The Journal of infectious diseases 1975;131:267-72.

140. Bennett SN, McNeil MM, Bland LA, et al. Postoperative infections traced to contamination of an intravenous anesthetic, propofol. The New England journal of medicine 1995;333:147-54.

141. Rosenthal VD, Ramachandran B, Villamil-Gomez W, et al. Impact of a multidimensional infection control strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in pediatric intensive care units of five developing countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). Infection 2012.

142. Pronovost P, Needham D, Berenholtz S, et al. An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. The New England journal of medicine 2006;355:2725-32.

143. Berenholtz SM, Pronovost PJ, Lipsett PA, et al. Eliminating catheter-related bloodstream infections in the intensive care unit. Critical Care Medicine 2004;32:2014-20.

144. Lederle FA, Parenti CM, Berskow LC, Ellingson KJ. The idle intravenous catheter. Annals of Internal Medicine 1992;116:737-8.

145. Parenti CM, Lederle FA, Impola CL, Peterson LR. Reduction of unnecessary intravenous catheter use. Internal medicine house staff participate in a successful quality improvement project. Arch Intern Med 1994;154:1829-32.

146. Lederle FA, Parenti CM, Berskow LC, Ellingson KJ. The idle intravenous catheter. Ann Intern Med 1992;116:737-8.

147. Royer T. Implementing a better bundle to achieve and sustain a zero central line-associated bloodstream infection rate. J Infus Nurs;33:398-406.